Journal of Human Resource & Leadership



The Relationship between Employee Engagement, Job Fit and Job Performance: An Explorative Study

Dr. Rhoda Chumba

ISSN: 2616-8421

Email: info@stratfordjournals.org ISSN: 2616-8421



The Relationship between Employee Engagement, Job Fit and Job Performance: An Explorative Study

Dr. Rhoda Chumba

Email: rhodaserem@gmail.com

How to cite this article: Chumba R. (2022). The Relationship between Employee Engagement, Job Fit and Job Performance: An Explorative Study. *Journal of Human Resource & Leadership*, 6(5), 21 – 31. https://doi.org/10.53819/81018102t2107

Abstract

This study was conducted to examine what contributes to a satisfied employee by examining a number of factors such as job fit, good communication, appreciation and clear objectives. The study also looked at the roles of the organization and individual in employee satisfaction. This study discusses employee engagement, job fit, and how to develop a successful employee engagement program. Job performance, another key success factor for organizations, was also examined. The link between job fit and performance findings has been expounded and recommendations on what can be done to improve the variable relationship has been provided. This study provides compelling information that will help to understand the advantages of having satisfied and high performing employees as well as using the power of employee engagement to ensure business sustainability.

Keywords: Employee Engagement, Job fit and Job Performance

1.1 Introduction

Tremendous research has been conducted on how organizations can remain a going concern by putting in place sustainability strategies. Part of that research indicates that there are three factors that successful companies share: employee engagement, job fit and strong performance for employees. Employee engagement is about the symbiotic relationship between employees and the organization, where the employees, love their jobs, are committed and able to go the extra mile in delivering their tasks. Engagement thus links employee satisfaction and job performance. Engaged employees have a level of commitment and emotional attachment to the organization (Demovsek, 2019). Employees develop a bond with an organization and that creates better business. If that emotional connection to their career, relationships with other employees and the organization are present, they perform better and serve the organization better (Maslach & Leiter, 2019).

Job fit can come from allowing employees to be self-directed and strong relationship with fellow workers (Lin, 2019). Sometimes this requires a tweaking of organization goals to boost employee

https://doi.org/10.53819/81018102t2107

 $Volume\ 6||Issue\ 5||Page\ 21\text{-}31||November||2022|$

Email: info@stratfordjournals.org ISSN: 2616-8421



motivation (Kivininiemi *et al.*, 2017). Performance as defined by Campbell (2019) is "what the organization hires one to do and to do well". High performing employees help leaders to create excellent organizations. There are factors that impact on job performance; one is competences. According to Ng and Feldman (2019), having the right technical competences can have a strong positive effect on employee job performance. There are times when a new employee is a good fit for the void that the organization needs to fill and improves job performance (Muchinsky & Monahan, 2017). If a person is in the right job, there is a direct link to job performance (Edwards, 2017). Engaged worker is more commitment, satisfied and motivated for hence achieving high overall performance (Li & Hung, 2019).

1.2 Research Objective

The objective of this study is to determine the relationship between employee engagement, job fit and job performance.

2.1 Methodology

The methodology of this study is exploratory in nature and is deemed appropriate for the purpose of this research. The research methodology used in this paper is based on review of literature and focuses mainly on literature on employee Job fit and employee engagement. The purpose was to offer an overview of significant literature published on these topics as an initial investigation that may lead to further research and contribution in these fields. The review aimed to provide an understanding of issues, unresolved questions and difficulties and highlights some factors that may contribute to the success of employee engagement, job fit for employees and its connection to employee engagement.

3.1 Literature Review

3.1.1 Employee Engagement

Employee engagement is an "emergent working condition and a positive cognitive, emotional and behavioral state directed toward organizational outcomes" (Shuck & Wollard, 2019). Another definition describes employee engagement as the readiness of workers and their skills to help their organization be successful by being flexible in different situations (Perrin's Global work study, 2017). Shuck and Wollard (2019) also define employee engagement as a growing working state in which the employee's perceptions, feelings and behaviors are aimed as the desired organization results. One more definition comes from Maslach et al. (2017) who views employee engagement as an energetic state of involvement with personally fulfilling activities that enhances one's sense of professional efficacy." The opposites are "burnout dimensions of exhaustion, cynicism and inefficacy (Maslach & Leiter, 2019). Employee's connection and commitment to the organization are also key to employee engagement (Demovsek, 2019). True engagement occurs when all employees in an organization are passionate about the business strategy and are committed to its success (Right Management, 2018). Employees that have work satisfaction are gratified to serve and are promoters of the organizational products and brand name. There is evidence that employee engagement increases productivity and overall job performance, creates a better and more productive work environment, reduces non-attendance and employees attrition (Caplan, 2019).

Email: info@stratfordjournals.org ISSN: 2616-8421



In a study in the GCC countries it was discovered that when employees are engaged, they tend to produce more and put in more effort to help their organizations (Singh et al., 2019). There are however challenges faced by employers to determine what employee engagement is and what values create it, this is because many theories exist that are unclear concerning the subject (Saks and Gruman, 2014). Studies conducted in India to determine the levels of engagement of employees revealed that 37% of the employees were engaged (Blessing and White, 2019). These numbers varied among gender, job functions, structure and size of organizations. Older and married employees were more engaged than their younger counterparts. There was also a correlation between industry and engagement. Banking employees had low engagement than those working in healthcare and chemicals that registered high engagement. Having the right success factors in the job were seen as motivators by Indian managers, the first was having a career development path including training (28%), being able to do what an employee does best was second (21%) and the final was challenging work (15%) (Blessing & White, 2019).

Employee job fit is essential to employee engagement. In India, White (2019) conducted a survey to determine employee engagement among employees. The results revealed that 37% of Indian on average are engaged. Engagement levels however varied across organizations and were mainly influenced by the organization size, gender, workplace structure, and functions. Younger employees are less engaged as compared to older and married employees. Employees in healthcare and chemicals had maximum engagement levels while banking and financial services had the least.

In order to achieve employee engagement, there must be employee job performance. There is proof that employees who are engaged are better workers and top performers. Gibbons (2018) in 12 different research studies concluded the following to be top motivators for employee engagement. These are identification with and being emotional about the organization, being part of an organization, being stimulated and acknowledged creates a sense of engagement. Emotions and the feelings of personal satisfaction also create stronger engagement, these findings supports earlier findings by Perrin, (2017). Other research suggests that there is a constructive effect on employee engagement due to happy customers, high production, staff retention, the success of the organization and strong earnings (Richman, 2018; Baumruk, 2017). In order to have employee engagement, there must be 'meaningful business results and job performance in many organizations' (Harter et al., 2017).

Research has also established that employee engagement is the degree to which employees are focused and immersed in their jobs (Saks, 2018). Saks further suggests that there are "two types of employee engagement namely job engagement and organizational engagement". How engrossed employees are with their own performance is job engagement. Organizational engagement is the "extent to which an individual psychologically present as a member of an organization".

Organizational commitment has as significant impact on overall employee engagement. As this type of commitment increases, so does employee engagement (Schaufeli & Salanova, 2019). This can also contribute to overall job fit, better job performance, less days off, better health, proactivity and more motivation. Organizational commitment has two components that impact on engagement (Hakanen et al., 2018; Saks, 2018; Demerouti et al., 2017; Maslach et al., 2017; Brown & Leigh, 2016) and need to be addressed. They are continuance commitment and normative commitment.

Email: info@stratfordjournals.org ISSN: 2616-8421



An interesting theory was developed by Konrad (2018) that engaged employees are those who are involved in the creation and application of workplace processes and workplace change. His research also found that engagement of employees reduced employee turnover. Effective management also made a difference in high performance by involving their employees in decisions affecting their jobs (Ruth & Ruth, 2018). There are other factors as well that influence employee engagement including proper selection of employees, effective training, sharing of power, sharing information and reward systems for good performance (Vance & Mathieu, 2019). Having a

The effectiveness of Human Resource Management (HRM) and the "context of high involvement work practices" according to Boon et al. (2018) and Saima (2019) can significantly influence employee engagement. (HRM) practices were studied and their effectiveness in employee empowerment, reward and communication had a very positive effect on engagement. An organization's willingness to care for her employees strongly influences engagement.

participative work environment was also important to employee engagement.

Effective communication with employees, as Saunders (2019) indicated makes them feel as if they are part of the organization and valuable. Listening to employees effectively is an effective way to make people be more engaged. According to CIPD (2019), if an organization truly listens to their employees, they will feel more valued and this can be a strategic instrument for employee engagement. In the research by Daprix and Faghan (2019), transparent communication is critical for employee engagement and employees trust in management. This contributes also to a positive corporate culture. Strategic HRM should view communication as a key role for them. The employee engagement program according to Shuck (2019) must be championed by HR and connect business goals to employee performance. This is done by attracting the right employees and making the environment positive so employees want to stay. HR can facilitate the process by providing assistance to managers to ensure that employee engagement is effectively implemented (Shuck, 2019). There must be a connection between HR and the line managers for this to succeed Johnson (2017). Transparency and fair policies from HR must be understood by line managers (Truss et al 2019).

HR, when implementing employee engagement must make sure that the workforce strategies are connected to the strategic goals of the organization. The HR experts will be asked to create better employee engagement environments and if necessary intervene to improve efforts (Truss et al., 2019). It is important for management and HR to have good communication and understanding of policies and procedures (MacLeod & Clarke, 2019; Brooks, 2019). Research by Gatenby et al. (2019), concluded that HR policies and procedures have an unequivocal effect on employee engagement. Understanding of HR policies and procedures must be at all levels of the organization. Employees and their managers both have a uniform accountability in order for successful engagement to occur (Khan, 2019).

Employee engagement is 'a positive, fulfilling, work-related state of mind that is characterized by vigor, dedication and absorption' (Schaufeli et al., 2017). Research has found that it is not a short term condition and is more a "persistent and pervasive affective-cognitive state that is not focused on any particular object, event, individual, or behavior" (Schaufeli et al., 2017). Engagement is not seen as a mindset but rather someone being engrossed in their job and performing well on it (Saks, 2018).

Email: info@stratfordjournals.org ISSN: 2616-8421



Lately, there has been additional focus on whether or not satisfaction can be seen as an independent variable for employee engagement (Riordan et al., 1997; Valentine & Fleischman, 2019). On one hand research claims that employee job performance contribute to success of the organization (Richman, 2018; Saks, 2018). Newer research suggests that there is a decline in employee engagement thus rise in disengaged workforce (Gross, 2019).

In a research conducted my Johnson (2019), indicate that organizations need to have leaders who have excellent abilities at achieving their core if the organization is to be a global player in the economy. The team leadership must be engaged to produce excellent performance. To be engaged, there must be commitment from the top of the organization. Engagement can be a very long process for organizations (Markos and Sridevi, 2019). They also believe that poor management leads to disengaged employees and a lack of commitment. Their research also found how to resolve this problem by taking the following engagement steps: i. Start it on day one, ii. Start it from the top, iii. Enhance employee engagement through two-way communication, iv. Give satisfactory opportunities for opportunities for development and advancement, v. Give employees' appropriate training, vi. Have a strong feedback system, vii. Build a distinctive corporate culture and viii. Focus on top performing employees

Recent research conducted by Sakovska (2019) believes that "employee engagement is influenced by three conditions: meaningfulness, safety and availability". In her research, she also found that if employees like their job and find it significant they will be more self-directed and motivated to grow in the organization.

A study was conducted on banks with regards to employee by Perrin (2017) and he found that what drives employee engagement are the emotional feelings the employees have regarding their organization and how they become "ambassadors" on their behalf. There was a great deal of need to improve further engagement and only three factors received 50% of the responses. Two of them (training and development) produced excellent results in employees who had "3.74 higher operating margin and 2.06% higher net profit margin than average".

Sharma et al. (2019) believe that organizations and their staff have a synergetic bond in which they are both dependent on each other to achieve their desires. Engagement must then be an on-going process instead of a one individual event (Sharma et al., 2019). Their research also concluded that fairness and acknowledgement are important to getting commitment. Two other important factors are career development and remuneration. Having good communication channels and a positive organizational culture can also influence employee engagement (Swatee et al; 2019). Another survey indicated that some employees find more motivation in their work life than their personal life (Pradeep et al., 2019). Research also found that there is a link between employee engagement and strong sense of belonging to the organization.

3.1.1 Job fit

Successful organizations depend on the high performance of their employees to meet their objectives. In order to achieve their strategic aims and maintain competitive advantage, their employees must perform at high levels (Lado & Wilson, 2017; Dessler, 2019). Organizational behavior philosophers believe that it is also crucial to have the right employees for the right jobs (Kristof-Brown et al., 2018). The person-job fit is important because it determines whether or not

Email: info@stratfordjournals.org ISSN: 2616-8421



the employee is well-suited for the job (Zheng et al., 2019) and whether the employee will be committed and productive to the organization (Rousseau & McLean Parks, 1992).

Examining job performance as a concept can be done in a number of ways including the ability of an employee to achieve their targets and organizational standards (Eysenck, 2018; Maathis & Jackson, 2017; Bohlander et al., 2017). Campbell (1993) defined performance as "synonymous with behavior which is something that a person actually does and can be observed". According to Campbell (2019), employees are hired to perform with efficiency and effectiveness (Aziri, 2019). Organizations need to understand that employees have their own requirements and personal wishes that need to be considered. This can determine if the employee feels positive or negative about the organization and needs to be treated individually (Schermernorn, 2019). How satisfied an employee is with his or her job depends on their values and motives (Siddika, 2019).

Researches in the past have examined a number of elements that can affect job performance. Jaramilloa et al. (2018) and Al Ahmadi (2019) showed that a crucial element is employees' commitment to their job. There is also a strong connection between being satisfied at their job and their performance (Gu & Chi, 2019). Sarmiento and Beale (2019) and Al Ahmadi (2019) studied the connection between education and job performance and obtained a surprising result which was a negative connection. Ng and Feldman (2019) found the opposite that education was a positive influence on job performance. Other studies by Karatepea et al. (2018) and D"Amato and Zijlstra (2019) found that a person's self-motivation and efficiency has a positive effect on job performance.

The theoretical concepts of fit according to Kilchyk (2019) states that "either the supplementary versus complementary view or demands-abilities versus needs-supplies, this view is also known as supplies-values fit". An earlier research by Muchinsky and Monahan (2017), they indicated that work can be divided into two extents of which "the first is the supplementary versus complementary while the second is the demand-abilities versus needs-supplies". When a person's distinguishing traits are similar to their organizational environment, then it is a supplementary fit (Sekiguchi, 2017). The fit is complementary when the traits of an individual fill the vacuum or emptiness of an organization thus broadening the organization's capabilities (Muchinsky & Monahan, 2017).

Research in the 2019's focused on the person-job fit with regard to the employee's wishes (employee related) and organizational mandates (job related). Edwards (2017) determined that employees with high person-job fit produce better results. There are a number of variables for person-job fit identified in the 2019's researches (Caldwell & O'Reilly, 2019; Edwards, 1996), including commitment to the job (Behery, 2019; Kristoff - Brown et al., 2018), Job fit (Erdogan & Bauer, 2018; Kristoff - Brown et al., 2018) performance and personality (Erdogan & Bauer, 2018).

Organizations must meet their strategic aims and advantage in the market place by employing and keeping high performing employees (Lado & Wilson, 2017; Dessler, 2019). In SMEs, the level of high performing individuals is lower because in many cases their employees may not have the right skills (Saleh & Ndubisi, 2018) and the ability to perform at high level standards (Aris, 2019). Successful organizations make sure that there is a good match between the employee and the job (Kristof-Brown et al., 2018). SME tends to experience lower production by employees. It may be

Email: info@stratfordjournals.org ISSN: 2616-8421



necessary to see if there is not a good employee fit for the job or there are other reasons like not having the right skills that creates the lower performance (Edwards, 2017). Lawrence (2017) found that the right person-job fit may also be tied to the knowledge and skill set of the employee. Not having the right skills may contribute to lack of employee engagement.

3.1.2 Employee Performance

Employees who are highly engaged in their organization produce high levels of customer care, remain with the organization, are productive and generate higher profits (Luthans & Peterson, 2017). The poll also revealed that employees at the bottom of 25% had lower sales, more issues with customers and increased staff turnover versus those above 25% who had much higher and positive scores (The Gallup Organization, 2017). Those employees that have a rational commitment are less likely to be top producers. It is in employer's best interest to have as many "true believers" as they can (Buchanan, 2017). These people tend to produce more for the organization than those who have low engagement and may contribute to a loss. The Gallup Organization did a survey in 2017 on the effects of engaged and unengaged workers. In the UK, unengaged workers cost their companies \$64.8 billion a year. In Japan, the loss in productivity was \$232 billion due to a low engagement ranking of 9%. An engaged employee or employees can be valuable assets to the organization when it comes to competitive strength (Joo & Mclean, 2018). Productivity and employee retention increases with employee engagement (Lad & Wilson, 2017). In 2018, a groundbreaking survey of 664,000 employees globally was conducted on employee engagement by the Society for Human Resource Management (SHRM). The study revealed that there was a differential of 52% for increased operational income from those organizations who had poorly engaged employees and those having highly engaged employees. Another survey was conducted in 2018 that surveyed on 7939 business units in 38 countries and showed that customer satisfaction, profitability, turnover of staff and less work mishaps were due to a higher satisfied and engaged staff (Norwack, 2018). Engaged and satisfied employees tend to be top performers who are committed to the organization (Woodruffe, 2018; Lockwood, 2018). When an employee is engaged they serve customers better and therefore contribute more to the organization's ongoing profitability.

4.1 Discussion

The literature confirms that satisfied employees do perform better and contribute to the overall success of an organizations. On the other hand, employees who are not satisfied do not perform well and become a barrier to success. The research suggests that this is a global phenomenon and by focusing on improving satisfaction and performance, organizations can be more successful. It is in the best interest for them to determine ways to improve employee satisfaction. One sure way is to make sure that the right people are selected for the right jobs. If this is not done, both satisfaction and performance will suffer.

Employee engagement can also contribute to organizational success. Having satisfied employees who perform better and are in the right jobs, helps foster engagement. Having employees who are present and committed are also key factors. Engaged employees want good communication with their superiors, work that has meaning for them and motivates them, and it is a safe place to work. On the other hand, unengaged employees demonstrate poor customer service, lack of commitment

Stratford Peer Reviewed Journals and Book Publishing Journal of Human Resource & Leadership

 $Volume \ 6||Issue \ 5||Page \ 21\text{-}31||November||2022|$

Email: info@stratfordjournals.org ISSN: 2616-8421



and poor performance. Their participation is lack luster, they do not excel and do not care about the success of the organization. Engaged employees produce better financial results, are proud of their organization and demonstrate enthusiasm. It makes financial and motivational sense to foster employee engagement.

Employee engagement is a process and cannot be created in a day. According to the research, good organizations put processes in place to ensure engagement and increase it. These include planning, employee input and satisfaction, strong communication and an understanding of what motivates employees. Engaged employees are challenged in a positive way, allowed to have some autonomy, feel valued and respected. There are opportunities for personal and professional growth including promotions. Commitment and communication is a two-way between management and staff.

Successful organizations understand the benefits of happy staff, excellent performance and employees being engaged. There is, in most cases, better financial performance and a motivated workforce. The literature contained in this study clearly underscores these concepts and gives the reader a sense of the importance of fostering employees in this way. Understanding these principles can be the difference between success and failure in summary, the study finds that;

- i. Employee satisfaction is directly linked to employee engagement.
- ii. Employee satisfaction makes good business sense and increases productivity and career enhancement.
- iii. Employee engagement can vary from country to country
- iv. It is good for management to understand what motivates their employees and what they need as individuals
- v. Poor employee engagement can cause organizations to have lower profit margins, poor customer service, high employee turnover and decreased competitive edge.
- vi. Engaged employees are looking for meaningful work, safety and available superiors
- vii. Engaged employees become "ambassadors" for their organization
- viii. Having an employee engagement plan is important but can take time to develop
- ix. Objectivity and recognition are essential to organizational commitment from employees

5.1 Conclusion and Recommendations

The following recommendations are put forward from this paper and they are:-

- i. Use information from this research to develop a detailed plan of how to create an employee engagement plan
- ii. Do a more exhaustive examination of different countries to determine best practices for employee engagement.
- iii. Examine the effect of corporate culture on employee engagement
- iv. Discuss the effect of job fit on employee engagement and job performance
- v. Conduct pilot projects to measure employee engagement in developing nations.

Email: info@stratfordjournals.org ISSN: 2616-8421



References

- Albdour A, Altarawneh I (2019). Corporate Social Responsibility and Employee Engagement in Jordan. Int. J. *Bus. Manag*; 7 (16):89-105. https://doi.org/10.5539/ijbm.v7n16p89
- Aris NM (2019). SMEs: building blocks for economic growth. J. Department of Statistics Malaysia, 1:1-14.
- Behery MH (2019). Person/organisation job-fitting and affective commitment to the organisation: Perspectives from the UAE. Cross Cultural Management: *An Int. J.* 16 (2):179-196. https://doi.org/10.1108/13527600910953928
- Blessing W (2018). Employee Engagement Report 2018 BlessingWhite, Inc. Princeton, New Jersey. [Online]Available: www.blessingwhite.com
- Blessing W (2019). The Employee Engagement Equation in India. Presented by BlessingWhite and HRAnexi. [Online] Available: www.blessingwhite.com
- Caldwell DF, O"Reilly CA III (2019). Measuring person-job fit with profile comparison process. J. *Appl. Psychol.*, 75:648–657. https://doi.org/10.1037/0021-9010.75.6.648
- Campbell JP (2019). The definition and measurement of performance in the new age. In D. R. Ilgen & E. D. Pulakos (Eds.), The changing nature of performance: Implications for staffing, motivation, and development San Francisco: *Jossey-Bass*. 399-429.
- Campbell JP (2019). Modeling the performance prediction problem in industrial and organisational psychology. I
- Dunnette MD, Hough LM (Eds.), Handbook of industrial and organisational psychology. Palo Alto: *Consulting Psychologists Press*. 1:687-732.
- Campbell JP, McCloy RA, Oppler SH, Sager CE (1993). A theory of performance. In E. Schmitt, W. C. Borman, & Associates (Eds.), Personnel selection in organisations San Francisco: *Jossey-Bass.* pp 35–70.
- Deros BM, Yusof SM, Salleh AM (2018). A benchmarking implementation framework for automotive manufacturing SMEs. Benchmarking: *An Int. J.*, 13 (4):396 430. https://doi.org/10.1108/14635770610676272
- Dessler G (2019). Human Resource management. (12th ed.). Prentice-Hall, USA.
- Edwards JR (1996). An examination of competing versions of the person–environment fit approach to stress. *Acad. Manag. J.*, 39:292-339. https://doi.org/10.5465/256782
- Erdogan B, Bauer TN (2018). Enhancing Career Benefits of Employee Proactive Personality: The Role of Fit with Jobs and Organisations. *Personnel Psychol.*, 58:859-891. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1744-6570.2005.00772.x
- Eysenck M (2018). Psychology: an integrated approach. New York: Addison-Wesley Longman Ltd.
- Greenberg J. (2017). Time urgency and job performance: Field evidence of an interactionist perspective. J. *Appl. Soc. Psychol.*, 32:1964–1973.



- Greguras GJ, Diefendorff JM (2019), "Different fits satisfy different needs: linking person-environment fit to employee commitment and performance using self-determination theory", J. Appl. Psychol., 94 (2):465-477.
- Gu Z, Chi RSS (2019). Drivers of Job fit as related to work performance in Macao casino hotels: An investigation based on employee survey. Int. J. *Contemporary Hospitality Manag.*, 21 (5):561-578. https://doi.org/10.1108/09596110910967809
- Jaramilloa F, Mulki JP, Marshall GW (2018). A meta-analysis of the relationship between organisational commitment and salesperson job performance: 25 years of research. J. Bus. Res., 58:705-714.
- Karatepea OM, Uludagb O, Menevisc I, Hadzimehmedagicc L, Baddarc L (2018). The effects of selected individual characteristics on frontline employee performance and Job fit. *Tourism Manag.*, 27:547–560.
- Kilchyk I (2019). A study of person-job fit in front office employees in mid-western hotels. (Master"s Thesis). Retrieved from ProQuest Dissertations and Theses database. (AAT 1469987).
- Kristof-Brown AL, Zimmerman RD, Johnson EC (2018). Consequences of individuals" fit at work: A meta-analysis of person—job, person—organisation, person—group, and person—supervisor fit. *Personnel Psychology*, 58 (2):281–342.
- Lado AA, Wilson CM (2017). Human resource systems and sustained competitive advantage: A competency-based perspective. *Acad. Manag. Rev.*, 19:699–727.
- Lai WH (2018). Implementing e-HRM: The Readiness of Small and Medium Sized Manufacturing Companies in Malaysia. *Asia Pacific Bus. Rev.* 12 (4):465-485. https://doi.org/10.1080/13602380600570874
- Muchinsky PM, Manohan CJ (2017). What is person-environment congruence? Supplementary versus complementary models of fit. J. *Vocat. Behav.*, 31:268-277.
- Peterson DK (2017). The relationship between perceptions of corporate citizenship and Organisational Commitment. *Business and Society*, 43:296-319.
- Saks AM, Ashforth BE (2017). Is job search related to employment quality? It all depends on fit. J. Appl. Psychol., 87 (2):646-654.
- Saks AM (2018). Antecedents and consequences of employee engagement. *J. Manag. Psychol.*, 21(7):600-619. https://doi.org/10.1108/02683940610690169
- Sarmiento R, Beale J (2019). Determinants of performance amongst shop-floor employees. *Management Research News*, 30 (12):915-927.
- Schaufeli WB, Bakker AB (2017). Job demands, job resources and their relationship with burnout and engagement: A multi-sample study. J. *Organ. Behav.*, 25:293-315. https://doi.org/10.1002/job.248
- Shin Y (2017). A person-environment fit model for virtual organisations. *J. Manag.*, 30 (5):725-743. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jm.2004.03.002

Email: info@stratfordjournals.org ISSN: 2616-8421



Siddique S, Suryadinata L (1981-1982). Bumiputra and Pribumi: Economic Nationalism (Indiginism) in Malaysia and Indonesia. *Pacific Affairs*, 54 (4):662-687. https://doi.org/10.2307/2757890

Zheng W, Kaur S, Zhi T (2019). A critical review of employee turnover model (1938-2019) and development in perspective of performance. Afr. J. *Bus. Manag.* 4 (19):4146-4158.